## **Environmental Protection Agency**

#### §§ 52.2174-52.2177 [Reserved]

# §52.2178 Significant deterioration of air quality.

(a) The South Dakota plan, as submitted, is approved as meeting the requirements of part C, subpart 1 of the CAA, except that it does not apply to sources proposing to construct on Indian reservations;

(b) Regulations for preventing significant deterioration of air quality. The provisions of §52.21 except paragraph (a)(1) are hereby incorporated and made a part of the South Dakota State implementation plan and are applicable to proposed major stationary sources or major modifications to be located on Indian reservations.

[43 FR 26410, June 19, 1978, as amended at 45 FR 52741, Aug. 7, 1980; 59 FR 47261, Sept. 15, 1994; 68 FR 11324, Mar. 10, 2003; 68 FR 74490, Dec. 24, 2003; 72 FR 72621, Dec. 21, 2007]

### § 52.2179 Visibility protection.

(a) The requirements of section 169A of the Clean Air Act are not met, because the plan does not include approvable procedures for protection of visibility in mandatory Class I Federal areas.

(b) Regulation for visibility monitoring and new source review. The provisions of §\$52.26 and 52.28 are hereby incorporated and made a part of the applicable plan for the State of South Dakota.

(c) Long-term strategy. The provisions of §52.29 are hereby incorporated and made part of the applicable plan for the State of South Dakota.

[50 FR 28553, July 12, 1985, as amended at 52 FR 45137, Nov. 24, 1987]

#### §52.2180 Stack height regulations.

The State of South Dakota has committed to revise its stack height regulations should EPA complete rule-making to respond to the decision in *NRDC* v. *Thomas*, 838 F.2d 1224 (DC Cir. 1988). In a letter to Douglas M. Skie, EPA, dated May 11, 1988, Joel C. Smith, Administrator, Office of Air Quality and Solid Waste, stated:

"\*\* \* We are submitting this letter to allow EPA to continue to process our current SIP submittal with the understanding that if EPA's response to the NRDC remand modifies the July 8, 1985 regulations, EPA will notify the State of the rules that must be changed to comport with the EPA's modified requirements. The State of South Dakota agrees to make the appropriate changes."

[53 FR 34079, Sept. 2, 1988]

#### §52.2181 [Reserved]

#### § 52.2182 PM<sub>10</sub> Committal SIP.

On July 12 1988, the State submitted a Committal SIP for the Rapid City Group II  $PM_{10}$  area, as required by the  $PM_{10}$  implementation policy. The SIP commits the State to continue to monitor for  $PM_{10}$  and to submit a full SIP if a violation of the  $PM_{10}$  National Ambient Air Quality Standards is detected. It also commits the State to make several revisions related to  $PM_{10}$  to the existing SIP.

[55 FR 40834, Oct. 5, 1990]

#### §52.2183 Variance provision.

The revisions to the variance provisions in Chapter 74:26:01:31.01 of the South Dakota Air Pollution Control Program, which were submitted by the Governor's designee on September 25, 1991, are disapproved because they are inconsistent with section 110(i) of the Clean Air Act, which prohibits any state or EPA from granting a variance from any requirement of an applicable implementation plan with respect to a stationary source.

[58 FR 37426, July 12, 1993]

# § 52.2184 Operating permits for minor sources.

Emission limitations and related provisions established in South Dakota minor source operating permits, which are issued in accordance with ARSD 74:36:04 and which are submitted to EPA in a timely manner in both proposed and final form, shall be enforceable by EPA. EPA reserves the right to deem permit conditions not federally enforceable. Such a determination will be made according to appropriate procedures and will be based upon the permit, permit approval procedures, or